

Azelnidipine An New Approach In Hypertension

The Unique CCB: Azelnidipine

Dr AM Thirugnanam, Senior Interventional Cardiologist, Hyderabad, India

Roadmap



Hypertension

- Introduction
- Epidemiology
- Pathogenesis
- Pharmacological Management Options
- Unmet Need of CCBs

Azelnidipine

- Introduction
- Mechanism of Action
- Pharmacokinetics
- Indication & Dose
- Common Adverse Events
- Precaution
- Contraindications
- Clinical Evidences
- Guidelines

Summing up



- Hypertension¹ (HTN) is defined as office SBP values ≥140 mmHg and/or diastolic BP (DBP) values ≥ 90 mmHg
- Epidemiological associations between BP and CV risk extend from
- very low levels of BP [i.e. systolic BP (SBP) >115 mmHg].
- Hypertension is a Lifestyle Disorder...
 - It is a major risk factor for stroke, myocardial infarction, vascular disease, and chronic kidney disease².

Why to treat hypertension?





Arch Intern Med. 1993;153(2):186-208

http://www.medbroadcast.com/channel/high-blood-pressure/understanding-high-blood-pressure/why-treat-high-blood-pressure

Pharmacological management: Antihypertensive drug classes



8

5



ACE-Inhibitor: Angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor CCBs: Calcium channel blocker

Physiology of calcium channels

- Opening of the voltage-dependent Ca²⁺ channels permits influx of Ca²⁺ across the plasma membrane
- Increased intracellular calcium is responsible for the increase in vascular tone, peripheral vascular resistances (PVR) and blood pressure (BP)



the high-voltage-activated L-, N-, P/Q- and R-type Ca²⁺ channels, have been identified.

Tamargo J, et al. Expert Opin Investig Drugs. 2016;25(11):1295–309.







• Have greater selective for myocardium than for vascular smooth muscle



| Current | α_1 subunit | Channel | Distribution | Inhibitors |
|---------|--------------------|---------|------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Р | αıa | Cav2.1 | neurons | ω-agatoxin IVA |
| Q | αıa | Cav2.1 | neurons | ω-agatoxin IVA |
| N | α 1B | Cav2.2 | neurons | ω -conotoxin GIVA |
| R | αıe | Cav2.3 | neurons | SNX-482 |
| L | α15 | Cav1.1 | skeletal muscle | DHP/PAA/BZP |
| | α 1C | Cav1.2 | heart, endocrine, neurons | DHP/PAA/BZP |
| | α 1D | Cav1.3 | endocrine, neurons | DHP/PAA/BZP |
| | αıf | Cav1.4 | retina | N/A |
| Т | α 1G | Cav3.1 | neurons, heart | N/A |
| | α ^{1H} | Cav3.2 | neurons, heart | N/A |
| | α1 | Cav3.3 | neurons | N/A |

Classification of Dihydropyridine & Non-dihydropyridine type CCBs Uniaz

| ССВ | Nifedipine | Verapamil | |
|---------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Туре | Benzothiazepine (Dihydropyridine) | Diphenylalkylamine (Non-dihydropyridine) | |
| Generation | 1 st | 1 st | |
| Act on | L-type | L-type | |
| Tmax | SR: 4 h | IR: 1.5 h SR: 5 h | |
| T _{1/2} | IR: 3-5 h SR: 9 h | IR: 3-7 h SR: 8-12 h | |
| Common ADR | Hypotension, edema, constipation, dyspepsia, flu-like symptoms | | |
| Serious ADR CCB: Calcium Cha | AV block, significant bradycardia, drug interactions (it is an enzyme inhibitor), Myocardial Infarction, pulmonary edema and hepatoxicity (Diltiazem exhibits similar action to Verapamil with a lower incidence of drug CCB: Calcium Channel blocker; ADR: Adverse drug reaction; IR: Immediate release; SR: Sustained release; h: Hour; | | |

Classification of Dihydropyridine type CCBs



| ССВ | Nifedipine | Nicardipine | Lercanidipine |
|------------------|---|--|---|
| Generation | 1 st | 1 st | 3rd |
| Act on | L-type | L-type (exact MoA is unknown) | L |
| Tmax | IR: 30 min SR: 6 h | - | 3 h |
| T _{1/2} | IR: 2 h SR: 6-8 h | 10 h | 8 h |
| Common ADR | Hypotension, peripheral edema, flushing, headache, dizziness, nausea, dyspnea | ADRs similar to | Flushing, headache, vertigo, asthenia, dizziness, Edema (significantly reduced in comparison to other CCBs) |
| Serious ADR | Reflex tachycardia, myocardial infarction, ventricular dysrhythmia, ulcers, hepatotoxicity | Nifedipine but with less reflux tachycardia | Headache, palpitations, hypokalemia, rhinitis, vertigo, and tachycardia |

CCB: Calcium Channel blocker; ADR: Adverse drug reaction; IR: Immediate release; SR: Sustained release; h: Hour; MoA: Mechanism of action

ß

Calcium channel blockers



| ССВ | Benidipine | Azelnidipine | Amlodipine | Cilnidipine |
|-----------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| Generation | 2 nd | 3 rd | 3 rd | 4 th |
| Act on | L/N/T-type | L/T-type | L-type | L/N-type |
| Tmax | 2 hr | 2.3-2.7 hr | 6-10 hr | 2-3 hr |
| T _{1/2} | 3 h | 16 to 28 hr | 30-50 hrs | 20 hr |
| Common ADR | Palpitations, headache, itching, rash, photosensitivity, gynecomastia | Mild headache, hot flushes, nausea, light- headedness | Peripheral edema, flushing, headache, dizziness, nausea, dyspnea, abdominal pain, dyspepsia, constipation | Fever, rashes, GERD, increased urination, flushing, myalgia, impotence, ischemic chest pain |
| Serious ADR CCB: Calciur | Yellowing of the skin, liver dysfunction n Channel blocker; ADR: | Increase is AST/ALT levels, bilirubin levels, jaundice, and liver failure Adverse drug reaction; | Reflux tachycardia (on a long term), Ventricular dysrhythmia, nervousness, conjunctivitis, IR: Immediate release; SR: S gingival hyperplasia | Heart failure, liver dysfunction, hypotension, cerebral ischemia Sustained release; h: Hour; |

Pharmacokinetics



| Parameter | Azelnidipine | Cilnidipine | Amlodipine | Benidipine |
|---|--------------------------|---|-----------------------|--|
| Type of CCB | L/T-type | L/N-type | L-type | L/N/T-type |
| Dosage | 8 to 16 mg OD | 5 to 10mg OD | 5 to 10 mg OD | 2 to 4 mg OD |
| Tmax | 2.3-2.7 hr | 2-3 hr | 6-10 hr | 2 hr |
| Protein binding | pprox 90% | 98% | 95% | 99% |
| Metabolism | Hepatic CYP450 3A4 | Major -Hepatic CYP450 3A4 Less roll of CYP2C9 | Hepatic CYP450 3A4 | Extensively metabolized by CYP3A4/5, and it inhibits CYP1A1, CYP2C9, CYP2C19, CYP2D6, and CYP3A4 leading to drug interactions with warfarin, clopidogrel, amiodarone, and digoxin |
| T _{1/2} | 16 to 28 hr | 20 hr | 30-50 hrs | 3 h |
| Excretion | Renal: 26% Fecal: 63% | Renal:20% Fecal:80% | Renal >75% | Renal 36% |
| Therapeutics and Clinical Risk Management 2015;11:309-318. Drugs 2003;63(23):2613-2621 | | | | |

12

IJPSR, 2019; Vol. 10(11): 4830-4843.

Unmet Need of CCBs





http://www.ube-ind.co.jp/english/rd/pharmaceuticals_calblock.htm



| CCBs | Benefits | Defects |
|------------------|--|---|
| Short acting | Prompt, Reliable | Too Sharp |
| Long acting | Mild, Continuous | Taking Long Time to Get Stable Actions |
| L-type selective | Prompt Antihypertensive Action | (not yet reported) |
| L- & T-type | Chronotropic, Inotropic, and Renoprotective Effects | (not yet reported) |
| L- & N-type | Direct Action on Autonomic Nerves | (not yet reported) |



Azelnidipine





Indian Heart J. 2013 Dec; 65(6): 691–695. Therapeutics and Clinical Risk Management 2015;11:309-318.









- Which subsequently reduces glomerular pressure
- Reduces proteinuria
- Retards the progression of CKD
- Improve kidney survival

1. Keio J Med 2010; 59(3): 84-95

2. Hypertension Research 2011;34:910–912

Indication and Dosage





Drugs 2003;63(23):2613-2621.

Safety



Common adverse events:

- Headache
- Hot facial flushes
- Light-headedness

Precaution:

- Elderly
- Patients with severe hepatic/renal dysfunction

Contraindications:

- Pregnancy
- Concomitant administration with
 - Azole antifungal agents e.g. Itraconazole
 - HIV protease inhibitors e.g. Ritonavir





Clinical Evidences





Objective: To evaluate the sustained BP- lowering effect of Azelnidipine, using mean morning and evening systolic BP in 16-week prospective observational study in 2,546 patients



• Both home SBP and DBP measured in the morning and evening decreased significantly by week 4 of Azelnidipine treatment, and the BP-lowering effect improved through week 16

• Significant decrease in ME (morning-evening) Average and ME difference

21

What Matters Most?

Land Azehidpire Têmg Tabêts

Morning hypertension is correlated with:

- High CV event risk
- Future risk of stroke
- End organ damage

10 mm Hg increase in ME average increases stroke risk by 41%

10 mm Hg increase in ME difference increases stroke risk by 24%

- Azelnidipine appears to have a sustain BP-lowering effect that lasts well into the morning of the next day providing:
 - 24 hr BP reduction
 - Significant reduction in morning BP
 - Significant reduction in Heart Rate
- Therefore Azelnidipine may be very useful for treating patients with morning hypertension, who are at high risk of cardiovascular events, especially stroke.



Greater BP Reduction Azelnidipine Vs Amlodipine



Azelnidipine vs Amlodipine



To compare the effects and safety of azelnidipine and amlodipine in essential hypertensive patients. 220 Patients were randomized by double blind method to receive administration of azelnidipine 8–16 mg/day or amlodipine 2.5–5 mg/day for 8 weeks.

Changes of ABPM in patients receiving Azelnidipine or amlodipine





Changes of blood pressure and pulse rate in Azelnidipine or amlodipine group after 8 weeks



Clin Exp Hypertens. 2010;32(6):372-6.

Conclusion



Greater reduction in BP seen in Azelnidipine group than amlodipine

Once-daily administration of Azelnidipine effectively controlled BP and had a stable effect over 24 h

Data suggest that Azelnidipine may be an excellent antihypertensive agent

Azelnidipine had good safety similar to amlodipine

Lower adverse events with azelnidipine

- Azelnidipine group: 7.3%
- Amlodipine group: 10.0%





Significant Reduction in Heart Rate



Azelnidipine vs Amlodipine: A Comparison of Effects on Ambulatory BP



To evaluate the effects of Azelnidipine and amlodipine on 24-h blood pressure in a randomized double blind study of 46 patients with essential hypertension Azelnidipine 16 mg (23 patients) or amlodipine 5 mg (23 patients) was administered once daily for 6 weeks.



The effects of Azelnidipine and Amlodipine on 24-hour BP control and on heart rate after 6 weeks of treatment

Conclusion



Both the drugs showed a similar 24-h hypotensive effect with decrease in systolic blood pressure of 13 mmHg

Effect on Heart Rate:

- Azelnidipine–Decreased HR by 2 beats/min
- Amlodipine –Increased HR by 4 beats/min



Anti-atherosclerotic Effect



Azelnidipine vs Amlodipine: Anti-Coronary Atherosclerosis Trial



The goal of this multicenter study was to determine which calcium-channel blockers (CCBs) other than amlodipine attenuated the progression of plaque volume (PV) It was a prospective open label study doe in hypertensive patients, who were scheduled for PCI, Study duration was 48 weeks



Conclusion



This study demonstrated that azelnidipine significantly reduces blood pressure and plaque volume

In addition to standard medical therapy, Azelnidipine retard PV progression in hypertensive patients



Circ J 2011; 75: 1071 – 1079



Renoprotective effect



Effect of Azelnidipine in Hypertensive CKD Patients



An increase in urinary L-FABP and 8-OHdG represents a potential clinical biomarker used in monitoring and predicting the progression of chronic renal disease.

The azelnidipine has anti-oxidative properties and these may contribute to the beneficial effects of this drug in reducing these biomarkers

The aim of this study was to determine whether azelnidipine and/or amlodipine affected urinary protein excretion or the urinary levels of 8-OHdG and L-FABP in 30 hypertensive patients with mild chronic kidney disease Study duration was 6 month



Conclusion



Urinary protein excretion, urinary 8-OHdG and urinary L-FABP levels decreased significantly in the azelnidipine group

• In contrast, amlodipine showed little effect on urinary protein excretion or the urinary levels of 8-OHdG and L-FABP throughout the experimental period

Both drugs exhibited comparable and significant effects on the SBP & DBP

Azelnidipine decreased heart rate significantly whereas amlodipine increased it significantly

Azelnidipine is renoprotective in hypertensive patients with mild CKD



Azelnidipine Vs Cilnidipine



Azelnidipine vs Cilnidipine



In patients with hypertension & heart failure preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF) compared to cilnidipine, **azelnidipine improves:**

- The severity of HF and
- Cardiac sympathetic nerve activity

Azelnidipine have greater effect on aortic stiffness:

• Leading to improvement of HF and cardiac function than cilnidipine

In DM patients N-type calcium channel effect get blunt:

• In these patients **T-type blocking action of azelnidipine will show the benefits over cilnidipine**

Shunsuke Kiuchi, Shinji Hisatake, Takayuki Kabuki, Takashi Oka, Shintaro Dobashi, Takahiro Fujii & Takanori Ikeda (2017): Azelnidipine is a useful medication for the treatment of heart failure preserved ejection fraction, Clinical and Experimental Hypertension





Azelnidipine vs Benidipine

Azelnidipine has greater beneficial effects on the autonomic functions than Benidipine

- Increases baroreceptor sensitivity
- Increases parasympathetic activity
- Decreases sympathetic activity
- Increases R-R interval
- Decreases heart rate

Both drugs shows similar reduction in blood pressure





Azelnidipine and Amlodipine on Glucose tolerance and Endothelial function: AGENT Trial

After Azelnidipine

- The heart rate significantly decreased
- Number of circulating hematopoietic progenitor cells (HPCs) was significantly increased.
- significantly decreased levels of glucose and insulin 120 min after the 75 g OGTT (both *P* < 0.05).
- Decreased Serum levels of highsensitivity C-reactive protein (P = 0.067) and
- **Decreased interleukin-6** (*P* = 0.035).

Azelnidipine treatment may have beneficial effects against glucose intolerance, insulin sensitivity, the inflammatory state, and circulating numbers of progenitor cells in non-diabetic patients with essential hypertension.

Anti-hypertensive azelnidipine preserves insulin signaling and glucose uptake against oxidative stress in 3T3-L1 adipocytes

Fuminori Tatsumi¹⁾, Hideaki Kaneto¹⁾, Mitsuru Hashiramoto¹⁾, Kazuhito Tawaramoto¹⁾, Atsushi Obata¹⁾, Tomohiko Kimura¹⁾, Masashi Shimoda¹⁾, Sumiko Hamamoto¹⁾, Yukiko Kanda-Kimura¹⁾, Shinji Kamei¹⁾, Tomoatsu Mune¹⁾, Masafumi Matsuda²⁾ and Kohei Kaku¹⁾



Fig. Effects of Azelnidipine on glucose uptake reduced by reactive oxygen species (ROS) in 3T3-L1 adipocytes.

(A) Reduction of glucose uptake by ROS in 3T3-L1 adipocytes.

(B) Influence of ROS on cell viability in 3T3-L1 adipocytes.

(C) Effects of azelnidipine on glucose uptake reduced by ROS. *:p<0.05, n=3-5. *Azel, azelnidipine; Nife, nifedipine.*





Anti-hypertensive azelnidipine preserves insulin signaling and glucose uptake against oxidative stress in 3T3-L1 adipocytes

Fuminori Tatsumi¹⁾, Hideaki Kaneto¹⁾, Mitsuru Hashiramoto¹⁾, Kazuhito Tawaramoto¹⁾, Atsushi Obata¹⁾, Tomohiko Kimura¹⁾, Masashi Shimoda¹⁾, Sumiko Hamamoto¹⁾, Yukiko Kanda-Kimura¹⁾, Shinji Kamei¹⁾, Tomoatsu Mune¹⁾, Masafumi Matsuda²⁾ and Kohei Kaku¹⁾

- Azelnidipine preserves glucose uptake against reactive oxygen species (ROS).
- Azelnidipine preserves insulin signaling against oxidative stress.
- Azelnidipine reduce inflammatory cytokine level and induce adiponectin levels.

Azelnidipine would be useful to preserve insulin signaling by suppressing oxidative stress in addition to decrease blood pressure in

subjects with obesity and type 2 diabetes.





Clin Exp Hypertens. 2014;36(7):447-53. doi: 10.3109/10641963.2013.846359. Epub 2014 Jan 16.

Effects of azelnidipine on uric acid metabolism in patients with essential hypertension.

Miyazaki S¹, Hamada T, Hirata S, Ohtahara A, Mizuta E, Yamamoto Y, Kuwabara M, Nosaka Y, Igawa O, Ogino K, Kato M, Yoshida A, Ninomiya H, Cheng J, Moriwaki Y, Yamamoto K, Hisatome I.

Hyperuricemia is not only a risk factor for gout and renal failure but may also be a risk factor for cardiovascular events and metabolic syndrome.

- Azelnidipine significantly decreased SBP, DBP and Heart rate
- Azelnidipine decreased the serum urate levels & Uur/Ucr in hyperuricemic patients with uric acid levels ≥ 7.0 mg/dL in males and ≥ 6.0 mg/dL in females.
- Azelnidipine decreased the serum urate acid levels and Uur/Ucr, and this response was most prominent in hyperuricemic patients or patients with normal and over excretion of uric acid.

Uniaz Ashidpire Tong Tables

42

Effects of Azelnidipine on the hemodynamics and uric acid metabolism in hypertensive patients.



(A)Changes in blood pressure; (B) Changes in Uur/Ucr; (D) Changes in Cur/Ccr.

urinary uric acid/ creatinine ratio (Uur/Ucr), uric acid clearance to creatinine clearance ratio (Cur/Ccr)

Miyazaki S, et al. Clin Exp Hypertens. 2014;36(7):447–53.

Azelnidipin e in post ischemic stroke patients Azelnidipine, a long-acting calcium channel blocker, could control hypertension without decreasing cerebral blood flow in post-ischemic stroke patients. A ¹²³I-IMP SPECT follow-up study

Masaki Watanabe¹, Teruyuki Hirano¹, Sadahisa Okamoto¹, Shinya Shiraishi², Seiji Tomiguchi³ and Makoto Uchino¹

This is the first study that shows that **Azelnidipine can safely**

In conclusion, in the chronic stage of ischemic stroke, Azelnidipine can safely decrease systemic BP without decreasing mean hemispheric and regional CBF. These results suggest that Azelnidipine may be therapeutically useful for the treatment of hypertensive patients with post-ischemic stroke.

6

43

Watanabe M, Hirano T, Okamoto S, Shiraishi S, Tomiguchi S, Uchino M. Azelnidipine, a long-acting calcium channel blocker, could control hypertension without decreasing cerebral blood flow in post-ischemic stroke patients. A 123I-IMP SPECT follow-up study. Hypertens Res. 2010 Jan;33(1):43-8.





SAFETY







8

45

Adis Drug Profile

Drugs 2003; 63 (23): 2613-2621 0012-6667/03/0023-2613/\$33.00/0

© Adis Data Information BV 2003. All rights reserved.

Azelnidipine

Keri Wellington and Lesley J. Scott Adis International Limited, Auckland, New Zealand



4. Tolerability

• Azelnidipine was generally well tolerated in clinical trials,^[15,30-35] with most treatment-emergent adverse events related to vasodilation; the drug is not associated with reflex tachycardia. According to the manufacturer's prescribing information,^[26] the most common adverse events in clinical trials (n = 1103) were headache (1.1%), hot factal flushes (0.5%) and light-headedness (0.5%); there were no reports of peripheral oedema. In a pooled analysis of data from



Putting Guidelines Into Practice!!!

Algorithm for Treatment of Hypertension - JNC VIII Suggesting CCBs as first line therapy

JAMA. Published online December 18, 2013. doi:10.1001/jama.2013.284427





ESC Guideline recommends CCB as Class IA treatment for the management of Hypertension



Salient Features of Azelnidipine





Thank you for your Attention

El